Bald and Golden Eagle Conservation Regulatory Framework





Species Protection



- Federal Laws:
 - Bald and Golden Eagle
 Protection Act
 - Migratory Bird Treaty Act

- State of California
 - Fish and Game Code Fully Protected



Threats



- Poisoning (lead, pesticides)
- Collisions
 - cars
 - turbine blades
 - wires
 - fences
- Electrocution
- Loss of habitat
- Declining prey base
- Human disturbance





Population Status of Golden

- Eagles
 The status of the population in the western US is unclear. Few published data on golden eagle abundance and population trend are available for CA.
- Of the data that is available (published and unpublished) a declining population in some portions western North America was noted.

Background on the Eagle Act: Take Definitions



- Take pursue, shoot, shoot at, poison, wound, kill, capture, trap, collect, destroy, molest or disturb
- Disturb to agitate or bother a Bald or Golden eagle to a degree that causes, or likely to cause, based on best scientific information
 - injury to an eagle
 - decrease in productivity, by substantially interfering with normal breeding, feeding, or sheltering behavior
 - nest abandonment, by substantially interfering with normal breeding, feeding, or sheltering behavior

Conservation Perspectives of the Eagle Act and Rule

- Eagle Act take will be authorized <u>only</u> where it is "compatible with the preservation of the eagle"
 - Rule defined as "consistent with the goal of increasing or stable breeding populations"
- Higher conservation standard than Endangered
 Species Act permitting .

Two New Permit Types Established

- Intentional take of eagle nests:
 - Primarily to deal with safety hazard to people or eagles
 - Only inactive nests allowed to be taken except in safety emergencies
- Incidental take of eagles
 - Limited permits available
 - Only when take cannot practicably be avoided



Decision for Golden Eagle

NEPA on the Rule recognized broad uncertainties

 Until additional data show populations of Golden Eagles can withstand additional take, permits will be considered only for:

- safety emergencies
- programmatic permits
- other permits that reduce ongoing take or result in no net loss to the breeding population



Programmatic Permits



- Programmatic take take that is recurring and not in a specific, identifiable timeframe and/or location
- Wind Energy Facilities
- Power Tower Solar Facilities
- Utility Lines



Programmatic Permit Measures



- Authorizations will be based on implementation of "advanced conservation practices"
- "Advanced Conservation Practices" (ACPs)
 are scientifically supportable measures
 approved by the Service
- Represent the best available techniques to reduce eagle take to a level where remaining take is unavoidable

Site-Specific NEPA Analyses for Programmatic Permits

- Should include at least the following:
 - Nest inventory & territory delineation
 - Available occupancy & productivity data
 - Migration corridors
 - Winter use areas
 - Line-of-site evaluation
 - Eagle use patterns (breeding and non-breeding)
 - Known foraging areas
 - Potential mitigation
 - Cumulative effects

Mitigation



- Sequential approach to mitigation
 - Avoid
 - Minimize
 - Rectify
 - Reduce or eliminate over time
 - Compensate is last!



Golden Eagle Permitting Challenges



- Declining populations and limited data in CA and NV
- Few proven avoidance measures for wind
- Limited data to support that compensation measures are effective to offset impacts to the species
- Current financial incentives are driving the timelines
- Limited Service staff and funding to implement program
- Cumulative Impacts may be <u>individually minor</u>, but <u>collectively significant</u> over time.

Cumulative Impacts



- May be <u>individually minor</u>, but <u>collectively</u> <u>significant</u> over time.
- Multiple Wind Resource Areas
 - Little mortality data available



Data Gaps and Outstanding Questions

- •Territory size and use in xeric (dry) habitats
- Survival and causes of mo
- Population size and trend
- Seasonal movements
- •Habitat use patterns
- Migration patterns
- •Genetic structure



FWS Siting and Permitting Tools



FWS Wind Energy Guidelines

- Based on recommendations from the Federal Advisory Committee
- Revised document expected in early 2012

Eagle Conservation Plan Guidance

- Under development since May 2011
- Version 2 out in early 2012 for public comment

Region 8 ABPP template CA/NV Golden Eagle Working Group Collaboration with other Federal and State agencies permitting projects

Summary

FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE

- Incidental Take Permits are available
- Permitting standards are difficult to meet
- Lack of acceptable compensation measures is frustrating the industry

