

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

PERKINS COIE LLP,

Plaintiff,

v.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, *et. al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 25-716 (BAH)

**UNOPPOSED MOTION OF 504 LAW FIRMS FOR LEAVE TO FILE A BRIEF *AMICUS CURIAE* IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND FOR DECLARATORY AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

Pursuant to LCvR 7(o), more than 500 of this Nation’s law firms (together, “*amici*”)<sup>1</sup> respectfully move, through the undersigned counsel, for leave to file a brief as *amici curiae* in support of Plaintiff. The proposed brief is attached hereto as Exhibit 1, and a proposed order is attached as Exhibit 2. In support of this motion, *amici* further state:

1. This Court has “broad discretion” in determining whether a third party may participate in a case as *amicus curiae*. *Nat’l Ass’n of Home Builders v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers*, 519 F. Supp. 2d 89, 93 (D.D.C. 2007). “[N]ormally,” courts in this District will grant an *amicus* leave to file a brief “when the *amicus* has unique information or perspective that can help the court beyond the help that the lawyers for the parties are able to provide.” *Youming Jin v. Ministry of State Sec.*, 557 F. Supp. 2d 131, 137 (D.D.C. 2008) (quoting *Ryan v. CFTC*, 125 F.3d 1062, 1063 (7th Cir. 1997)). *Amici* can provide precisely that kind of information and perspective.

---

<sup>1</sup> The individual *amici* are described in Appendix A.

*Amici* are some of the leading law firms in the United States. The work done by *amici*, and other firms like them, is indispensable to the success of our system. Many advocate for the interests of the Nation’s leading business and financial institutions, which depend on the stability of the rule of law in order to thrive economically. Others advocate for the interests of small businesses, nonprofit organizations, consumers, workers and other individuals, and likewise depend on the impartial administration of justice to advance their clients’ objectives. Individuals at these firms hold a wide range of political, social and economic views. But despite those differences *amici* are united in their support for the integrity of the adversarial system and the rule of law.

2. The Plaintiff is understandably focused primarily on the effects of the March 6, 2025 Executive Order entitled “Addressing Risks from Perkins Coie LLP” (the “Executive Order”) on Plaintiff’s ability to continue to represent its clients and to sustain itself as a going concern. *Amici* seek to provide the Court with a broader perspective on the threat that the Executive Order—which is an unconstitutional act of undisguised retaliation for representations that Plaintiff has undertaken in the past—poses to the integrity of our adversarial system, to the ability of clients to obtain the zealous representation to which they are constitutionally entitled, and to the rule of law itself.

3. Consistent with LCvR 7(m), on April 2, 2025, *amici* conferred with counsel for Plaintiff and counsel for Defendants. Plaintiff has consented to the filing of this brief. Defendants do not object to the filing of this brief.

For all the foregoing reasons, the *Amici* Law Firms respectfully request that the Court grant their motion for leave to file a brief as *amici curiae*.

April 4, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

Nathan P. Eimer  
(*pro hac vice forthcoming*)  
EIMER STAHL LLP  
224 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100  
Chicago, IL 60604  
Telephone: (312) 660-7600  
Fax: (312) 692-1718  
neimer@eimerstahl.com

/s/ Donald B. Verrilli, Jr.

Donald B. Verrilli, Jr. (D.C. Bar. No. 420434)  
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
601 Massachusetts Ave. NW, Suite 500E  
Washington, D.C. 20001-5369  
Telephone: (202) 220-1100  
Fax: (202) 220-2300  
Donald.Verilli@mtm.com

*Counsel for Amici Curiae*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on April 4, 2025, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system. Notice of this filing will be sent to all attorneys of record by operation of the Court's electronic filing system.

April 4, 2025

/s/ Donald B. Verrilli, Jr.

Donald B. Verrilli, Jr.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

PERKINS COIE LLP,

Plaintiff,

v.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, *et. al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 25-716 (BAH)

**BRIEF OF *AMICI CURIAE* 504 LAW FIRMS IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND FOR DECLARATORY AND  
PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

Nathan P. Eimer (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)  
EIMER STAHL LLP  
224 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100  
Chicago, IL 60604  
Telephone: (312) 660-7600  
Fax: (312) 692-1718  
neimer@eimerstahl.com

Donald B. Verrilli, Jr. (D.C. Bar. No. 420434)  
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
601 Massachusetts Ave. NW, Suite 500E  
Washington, D.C. 20001-5369  
Telephone: (202) 220-1100  
Fax: (202) 220-2300  
Donald.Verilli@mtm.com

*Counsel for Amici Curiae*

**CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

*Amici curiae* are law firms and legal corporations. They have no parent corporations and do not issue stock.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT ..... i

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....ii

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....iii

INTEREST OF *AMICI CURIAE* .....1

ARGUMENT .....2

CONCLUSION.....5

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>FEDERAL CASES</b>	
<i>Boumediene v. Bush</i> , 553 U.S. 723 (2008).....	4
<i>Consumer Financial Protection Bureau v. Community Financial Services Ass’n</i> , 601 U.S. 416 (2024).....	4
<i>Cooper v. Aaron</i> , 358 U.S. 1 (1958).....	1
<i>Legal Services Corp. v. Velazquez</i> , 531 U.S. 533 (2001).....	2
<i>Marbury v. Madison</i> , 5 U.S. 137 (1803).....	4
<i>Martinez v. Ryan</i> , 566 U.S. 1 (2012).....	2
<i>National Rifle Ass’n v. Vullo</i> , 602 U.S.175 (2024).....	4
<i>NFIB v. Sebelius</i> , 567 U.S. 519 (2012).....	4
<i>Sacher v. United States</i> , 343 U.S. 1 (1952).....	3
<i>Seila Law v. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau</i> , 591 U.S. 197 (2020).....	4
<b>OTHER</b>	
Addressing Risks from WilmerHale, The White House (Mar. 28, 2025), <a href="https://tinyurl.com/4m8a79jn">https://tinyurl.com/4m8a79jn</a> .....	1
Addressing Risks from Jenner & Block, The White House (Mar. 25, 2025), <a href="https://tinyurl.com/u7ts9x49">https://tinyurl.com/u7ts9x49</a> .....	1
Addressing Risks from Paul Weiss, The White House (Mar. 14, 2025), <a href="https://tinyurl.com/5w4j69fv">https://tinyurl.com/5w4j69fv</a> .....	1, 3
Suspension of Security Clearances and Evaluation of Government Contracts (Feb. 25, 2025), <a href="https://tinyurl.com/3yxdrmfp">https://tinyurl.com/3yxdrmfp</a> .....	1



**INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE**<sup>1</sup>

This *amicus* brief is filed on behalf of many of this Nation’s leading law firms.<sup>2</sup>

Although we do not take this step lightly, our abiding commitment to preserving the integrity of the American legal system leaves us no choice but to join together to oppose the March 6, 2025 Executive Order entitled “Addressing Risks from Perkins Coie LLP” (the “Executive Order”) that is at issue in this litigation. The Executive Order (which is now subject to a temporary restraining order) should be permanently enjoined as a violation of core First, Fifth, and Sixth Amendment guarantees, as well as bedrock separation-of-powers principles.

But something even more fundamental is at stake. In recent weeks, the President has issued not one but *five* executive orders imposing punitive sanctions on leading law firms in undisguised retaliation for representations that the firm, or its former partners, have undertaken, and more may be in the offing.<sup>3</sup> Those Orders pose a grave threat to our system of constitutional governance and to the rule of law itself. The judiciary should act with resolve—now—to ensure that this abuse of executive power ceases. Cf. *Cooper v. Aaron*, 358 U.S. 1 (1958).

---

<sup>1</sup> In accordance with Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 29(a)(4)(E), *amici* certify that (1) this brief was authored entirely by counsel for *amici curiae* and not by counsel for any party, in whole or part; (2) no party or counsel for any party contributed money to fund preparing or submitting this brief; and (3) apart from counsel for *amici curiae*, no other person contributed money to fund preparing or submitting this brief.

<sup>2</sup> The individual *amici* are described in Appendix A.

<sup>3</sup> See Addressing Risks from WilmerHale, The White House (Mar. 28, 2025) (“WilmerHale Order”), <https://tinyurl.com/4m8a79jn>; Addressing Risks from Jenner & Block, The White House (Mar. 25, 2025) (“Jenner Order”), <https://tinyurl.com/u7ts9x49>; Addressing Risks from Paul Weiss, The White House (Mar. 14, 2025) (“Paul Weiss Order”), <https://tinyurl.com/5w4j69fv>; Suspension of Security Clearances and Evaluation of Government Contracts (Feb. 25, 2025), <https://tinyurl.com/3yxdmfp>.

## **ARGUMENT**

1. The Executive Order at issue in this case, and the others like it, take direct aim at several of the Nation’s leading law firms and seek to cow every other firm, large and small, into submission. On the basis of almost-decade-old allegations, the Executive Order subjects an entire firm, as well as its clients and personnel, to draconian punishment—including the revocation of its attorneys’ security clearances, the potential loss of clients that contract with the United States, and denial of access to federal buildings and facilities. Such disabilities would threaten the survival of any law firm.

2. The looming threat posed by the Executive Order at issue in this case and the others like it is not lost on anyone practicing law in this country today: any controversial representation challenging actions of the current administration (or even causes it disfavours) now brings with it the risk of devastating retaliation. Whatever short-term advantage an administration may gain from exercising power in this way, the rule of law cannot long endure in the climate of fear that such actions create. Our adversarial system depends upon zealous advocates litigating each side of a case with equal vigor; that is how impartial judges arrive at just, informed decisions that vindicate the rule of law. See *Legal Services Corp. v. Velazquez*, 531 U.S. 533, 545 (2001) (“An informed, independent judiciary presumes an informed, independent bar.”). In the same vein, it is a deeply held principle of the legal profession that everyone, no matter their actions or beliefs, is entitled to zealous advocacy on their behalf. See *Martinez v. Ryan*, 566 U.S. 1, 12 (2012) (“[T]he right to counsel is the foundation for our adversary system”). The principle is so deeply ingrained that lawyers—going back to John Adams’ defense of eight British soldiers who perpetrated the Boston Massacre of 1770—consider it a core part of their professional obligation to take on representation of clients with whom they disagree, even vehemently. Indeed, the

“courage” of attorneys who take on unpopular clients has long “made lawyerdom proud.”  
*Sacher v. United States*, 343 U.S. 1, 4 (1952).

The work done by *amici*, and other firms like them, is indispensable to the success of our system. Many advocate for the interests of the Nation’s leading business and financial institutions, which depend on the stability of the rule of law in order to thrive economically. Others advocate for the interests of small businesses, nonprofit organizations, consumers, workers and other individuals, and likewise depend on the impartial administration of justice to advance their clients’ objectives. Individuals at these firms hold a wide range of political, social and economic views—even with respect to the representations attacked in the executive orders. But despite those differences *amici* are united in their support for the integrity of the adversarial system and the rule of law.

3. The role of *amici* and firms like them is particularly important when a law firm represents a client challenging the actions of a president or his administration, Republican or Democratic. Checking federal government overreach, whether it be infringements on religious liberty, assaults on the freedom of the press, or burdensome regulation, is a vital part of what *amici* and others like them are called to do. By definition, such litigation brings lawyers into conflict with the policies and objectives of the Executive Branch.

Since this Court entered its Temporary Restraining Order, the Administration has redoubled its threats of retaliation against the legal profession. In that regard, the express targeting of law firm pro bono efforts in the three most recent executive orders—which attack those firms’ pro bono representations as “activities that make our communities less safe, increase burdens on local businesses, limit constitutional freedoms, and degrade the quality of American elections”—is cause for particularly acute concern. Paul Weiss Order, § 1. For our system of

justice to operate, members of the bar must be free to advocate zealously for all their clients, large and small, rich and poor, without fear of retribution. They must be free, in the words of Chief Justice Marshall, to defend “the right of every individual to claim the protection of the laws.” *Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S. 137, 163 (1803). Without such zealous advocacy, there is no prospect of equal justice under law.

4. History offers indelible reminders of the perils associated with governmental intrusion into the autonomy of the legal system and with political retribution aimed at lawyers thought to stand in the way of a regime’s political objectives. In too many countries and instances to name, regimes have disbarred, prosecuted and jailed lawyers who dared to represent opposition figures or challenge government actions, with predictable results for the rule of law and the integrity of the legal profession.

Fortunately, such abuses have been rare in our country’s history. Over the past two decades alone, elite law firms have represented clients seeking to invalidate major presidential initiatives, from the Military Commissions Act of 2006<sup>4</sup> to the Affordable Care Act<sup>5</sup> and the Dodd-Frank Act.<sup>6</sup> Until now, it would have been inconceivable that a law firm would risk punitive retribution from the federal government for undertaking representations of this kind. And when state or local governments have attempted to wield the threat of official retribution to deter entities from advocating for what they believe, the Supreme Court has condemned such actions in clear and decisive terms. E.g. *National Rifle Ass’n v. Vullo*, 602 U.S.175, 189 (2024) (“[T]he First Amendment prohibits government officials from relying on the threat of invoking

---

<sup>4</sup> *Boumediene v. Bush*, 553 U.S. 723 (2008).

<sup>5</sup> *NFIB v. Sebelius*, 567 U.S. 519 (2012).

<sup>6</sup> *Seila Law v. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau*, 591 U.S. 197 (2020); *Consumer Financial Protection Bureau v. Community Financial Services Ass’n*, 601 U.S. 416 (2024).

legal sanctions and other means of coercion ... to achieve the suppression of disfavored speech.” (citation omitted)). But that proud tradition is in jeopardy. Unless the judiciary acts decisively now, what was once beyond the pale will in short order become a stark reality. Corporations and individuals alike will risk losing their right to be represented by the law firms of their choice and a profound chill will be cast over the First Amendment right to petition the courts for redress.

5. Like every lawyer, the members of the *amicus* law firms have sworn an oath to uphold the Constitution and to discharge the obligations of the profession to the best of our ability. That oath obligates all of us, no matter our political views, to be faithful custodians of our Nation’s commitment to the rule of law—a commitment that has made it possible for this Nation’s corporations to lead the world in innovation and productivity; for our scientists, scholars and creative artists to contribute so much to human progress; and for all of us to know that we can turn to the courts to vindicate our fundamental civil rights. We therefore feel a special responsibility to stand up now to the unprecedented threat posed by the Executive Order at issue in this case and the others like it.

### **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, this Court should grant the motion for permanent injunction.

Dated: April 4, 2025

Nathan P. Eimer (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)  
EIMER STAHL LLP  
224 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100  
Chicago, IL 60604  
Telephone: (312) 660-7600  
Fax: (312) 692-1718  
neimer@eimerstahl.com

/s/ Donald B. Verrilli, Jr.

Donald B. Verrilli, Jr. (D.C. Bar. No. 420434)  
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
601 Massachusetts Ave. NW, Suite 500E  
Washington, D.C. 20001-5369  
Telephone: (202) 220-1100  
Fax: (202) 220-2300  
Donald.Verilli@mto.com

*Counsel for Amici Curiae*

**CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

I hereby certify that the foregoing complies with Local Civil Rule 7(o)(4) and does not exceed 25 pages. I further certify that the attached *amicus* brief complies with the typeface and type style requirements of Local Rule 5.1(d) because it has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word and 12-point Times New Roman font.

Dated: April 4, 2025

/s/ Donald B. Verrilli, Jr.

Donald B. Verrilli, Jr.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

PERKINS COIE LLP,

Plaintiff,

v.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, *et. al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 25-716 (BAH)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Upon consideration of the motion of 504 Law Firms for leave to file an Amici Curiae brief and such matters as are just and proper, it is hereby

ORDERED that the aforementioned Motion is GRANTED; and it is further

ORDERED that the Amici Curiae brief attached as Exhibit 1 to the Motion is deemed filed with this Court upon entry of this Order.

Entered this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_, 2025

\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Beryl A. Howell  
United States District Judge



# **APPENDIX A**

**List of Amici Curiae**

300degrees PLLC  
Advocate Law Group P.C.  
Ajamie LLP  
Aldous Law  
Ali & Lockwood LLP  
ALR Civil Rights LLC  
Alto Litigation  
Altshuler Berzon LLP  
Anapol Weiss  
Anderson & Kreiger LLP  
Andrus Anderson LLP  
Andrus Wagstaff, PC  
Arete Law Group PLLC  
Arguedas, Cassman, Headley & Goldman LLP  
Armond Wilson LLP  
Arnold & Porter  
Arrowood LLP  
Arshack, Hajek & Lehrman, PLLC  
Ascheman Law  
Aviso Legal Group LLP  
Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis and Overholtz, PLLC  
Bailey & Glasser, LLP  
Banashek Irving & McNutt, LLP  
Bangos Law Firm, P.A.  
Bangs, McCullen, Butler, Foye & Simmons, LLP  
Bantle & Levy LLP  
Barrientos PC  
Baughman Kroup Bosse PLLC  
Beale, Micheaels, Slack & Shughart, P.C.  
Bedell, Dittmar, DeVault, Pillans & Cox, P. A.  
Beeman & Muchmore, LLP  
Berger Montague PC  
Berman Tabacco  
Bernstein & Gordon LLP  
Beveridge & Diamond, P.C.  
Binder & Schwartz LLP  
Bird, Marella, Rhow, Lincenberg, Drooks & Nessim, LLP  
Birrell Law Firm PLLC  
BLL LLP  
Bloom Law PLLC  
Blumberg Law Corporation  
Bondurant, Mixson & Elmore  
Botkin Chiarello Calaf PLLC  
Bradley Bernstein Sands LLP  
Brandt Law, PLLC

BraunHagey & Borden LLP  
Breskin Johnson & Townsend  
Brian L. Tannebaum, P.A.  
Broadband Legal Strategies, LLC  
BrotmanLaw, PLLC  
Brown, Goldstein & Levy, LLP  
Bryan Schwartz Law, P.C.  
Burgess Law PC  
Cade Law Group LLC  
Caffrey Hill, PLLC  
Caldwell LLP  
Cannon & Dunphy  
Caplan Cobb LLC  
Casey Gerry Francavilla Blatt LLP  
Charhon Callahan Robson & Garza, PLLC  
Charles J. Kettlewell LLC  
Charles S. Tusa PLLC  
Ciresi Conlin LLP  
Civil Rights Litigation Group, PLLC  
Clapp, Moroney, Vucinich, Beeman + Scheley  
Clarick Gueron Reisbaum LLP  
Clark Smith Villazor LLP  
Clarke Johnston Thorp & Rice, PPC  
Clayman Rosenberg Kirshner & Linder LLP  
Clean Energy Counsel, LLP  
Clifford Law Offices, P.C.  
Clinton & Peed  
Coast Law Group, LLP  
Coblentz Patch Duffy & Bass LLP  
Cochran Law Group  
Cohen Hirsch LP  
Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll PLLC  
Cohen Williams LLP  
Colantuono, Highsmith & Whatley  
Commins, Knudsen & Chou  
Complex Appellate Litigation Group LLP  
Conrad | Metlitzky | Kane LLP  
Coogan Gallagher  
Coopers LLP  
Coppersmith Brockelman PLC  
Corr Cronin LLP  
Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP  
Covington & Burling LLP  
Cowan DeBaets Abrahams & Sheppard LLP  
Crain Brogdon, LLP  
Creative Criminal Defense Consultants

Crowell & Moring LLP  
Cultural Heritage Partners, PLLC  
Cummins & Cummins, LLP  
Curcio Law, PLLC  
Daar & Newman, a Professional Law Corporation  
David Corbett PLLC  
Davis Graham & Stubbs LLP  
Davis Levin Livingston  
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP  
DEH Law, PLLC  
Deutsch Hunt PLLC  
DMC Law, LLC  
Donahue, Goldberg & Herzog  
Dorsay & Easton LLP  
Doyle Dennis Avery LLP  
Dreher Law Firm  
Dreyer Babich Buccola Wood Campora, LLP  
Eagle Team LLP  
Edelson PC  
EDGE, A Professional Law Corporation  
Edward R. Shaw P.A.  
Ehrlich & Craig LLP  
Eimer Stahl LLP  
Emery Celli Brinckerhoff Abady Ward & Maazel LLP  
Equity Litigation Group LLP  
Eric Maxfield Law, LLC  
Esler Stephens & Buckley LLP  
Estes Law Group  
Ezkovich & Co., LLC  
Faraci Lange, LLP  
Farella Braun + Martel LLP  
Faughnan Law, PLLC  
Feinberg, Jackson, Worthman & Wasow LLP  
Fenwick & West LLP  
Finkel Law Group P.C.  
Fisher Taubenfeld LLP  
Foley Hoag  
Folger Levin LLP  
Forsgren Fisher McCalmont DeMarea Tysver LLP  
Fox & Robertson, PC  
Frank Freed Subit & Thomas  
Frankel PLLC  
Frankfurt Kurnit Klein & Selz  
Freedman Boyd Hollander & Goldberg P.A.  
FreelandLaw LLP  
Freshfields US LLP

Friedemann Goldberg Wargo Hess LLP  
Friedman | Rubin, PLLP  
Gainey Law, PLLC  
Gair Gair Conason Rubinowitz Bloom Hershenhorn Steigman & Mackauf  
Galihier DeRobertis & Waxman LLP  
Gallagher Evelius & Jones LLP  
Garmey Law  
Getman, Sweeney & Dunn, PLLC  
Gibbs Mura LLP  
Gingras, Thomsen & Wachs, LLP  
Girard Sharp LLP  
Gish PLLC  
Giskan Solotaroff & Anderson LLP  
Glaser Weil Fink Howard Jordan & Shapiro LLP  
Gleason, Dunn, Walsh & O'Shea  
Glenn Agre Bergman & Fuentes LLP  
Glenn F. Hardy PC  
Goodley McCarthy LLC  
Gordon Thomas Honeywell Law  
Gordon Tilden Thomas & Cordell LLP  
GrahamHollis, APC  
Grant & Eisenhofer, P.A.  
Graves, Dougherty, Hearon & Moody, P.C.  
Green & Willstatter  
Greenblatt Law LLC  
Greene Broillet & Wheeler, LLP  
Greene Espel PLLP  
Greenspun Shapiro Ginsberg & Yang PC  
Groombridge, Wu, Baughman and Stone LLP  
Gross & Belsky PC  
Grossman LLP  
Grossman Roth Yaffa Cohen  
Gupta Wessler LLP  
Gustafson Gluek, PLLC  
GVR Partners LLP  
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP  
Hanson Bridgett LLP  
Hanson Crawford Crum Family Law Group, LLP  
Hanusz Law, PC  
Harris St. Laurent & Wechsler LLP  
Hausfeld LLP  
Hecker Fink LLP  
Hepworth Holzer, LLP  
Hinman Legal PC  
Hirschfeld Kraemer LLP  
HKM Employment Attorneys LLP

Holland, Holland Edwards & Grossman, LLC  
Holmes Costin & Marcus PLLC  
Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP  
Hoq Law APC  
Houlding Law PC  
Hughes Socol Piers Resnick & Dym, Ltd.  
Hutchinson, Black and Cook, LLC  
Hykel Law, LLC  
Iliff, Meredith, Wildberger & Brennan, PC  
Incline Law Group, LLP  
Interlaken Law Group, PLLC  
J. Cantor Law  
Jacobs & Schlesinger LLP  
James D. Leach Attorney  
James D. Taylor, P.C.  
James Ellis Arden  
Jassy Vick Carolan LLP  
Jenner & Block LLP  
John A. Yannis, Attorney at Law  
John Vail Law PLLC  
Johnson & Klein Law  
Justice Law Collaborative LLC  
Kaiser PLLC  
Kaplan Gore LLP  
Kaplan Kirsch LLP  
Kaplan Law Firm, PLLC  
Kaplan Martin LLP  
Katz Banks Kumin LLP  
Kaufman Lieb Lebowitz & Frick  
KBM Law  
Keker, Van Nest & Peters LLP  
Keller Benvenuti Kim LLP  
Keller Grover LLP  
Keller Rohrback LLP  
Kendall Brill & Kelly LLP  
Kenneth P. Tableman, P.C.  
Kevin Kohls PLC  
Kieve Law Offices  
Killmer Lane, LLP  
Kingfisher Law APC  
KKL LLP  
Kline & Specter, PC  
KO Law PC  
Kohn, Kohn & Colapinto, LLP  
Koskoff Koskoff & Bieder, PC  
Kosloski Law, PLLC

KoslynLaw  
Koss Firm APC  
Kostelanetz LLP  
Kramon & Graham, P.A.  
Krantz & Berman LLP  
Kreindler & Associates  
Krevolin & Horst, LLC  
Krokidas & Bluestein LLP  
Kropf Moseley Schmitt  
Kuras Legal, PLC  
Kwall Barack Nadeau PLLC  
Kwun Bhansali Lazarus LLP  
Laminack, Pirtle & Martines LLP  
Lamitie Law LLC  
Langer, Grogan & Diver, P.C.  
Langrock Sperry & Wool, LLP  
Lansner & Kubitschek  
Lauren H. Berkowitz, Attorney at Law, PLLC  
Law Forward, Inc.  
Law Office of Alexis Haller, P.C.  
Law Office of Anya Goldstein  
Law Office of Dan Roth  
Law Office of Diane K. Vaillancourt  
Law Office of Gail Shifman  
Law Office of Ihsan Dogramaci PLLC  
Law Office of John D. Cline  
Law Office of Lisa C. Lambert  
Law Office of Matthew Stiegler  
Law Office of Nanci Clarence  
Law Office of Rebecca E. Ary  
Law Office of Richard J. Davis  
Law Office of Sara Azari  
Law Office of Stephen Chahn Lee, LLC  
Law Office of Susan F. Zinder, PLLC  
Law Office of Susan Mindenbergs  
Law Offices of Alex J. Higgins  
Law Offices of Andrew Marks PLLC  
Law Offices of Arthur Thomas Donato  
Law Offices of David M. Zeff  
Law Offices of Evan A. Jenness  
Law Offices of Greg Rosenfeld, P.A.  
Law Offices of Johanna J. Raimond Ltd.  
Law Offices of Jonathan G Stein  
Law Offices of Karen A. Klein  
Law Offices of Laurel Stuart-Fink, PLLC  
Law Offices of Richard M. Steingard

Law Offices of Robert D. Richman LLC  
Law Offices of Steven B. Piser  
Law Offices of Thomas Schneck  
LeGrand Law PLLC  
Leichtman Law PLLC  
Lerch, Early & Brewer  
Leung Law PLLC  
Leventhal Puga Braley PC  
Levi Merrithew Horst PC  
Levine Lee LLP  
Lewis & Lin, LLC  
Lewis Baach Kaufmann Middlemiss  
Libby Hoopes Brooks & Mulvey, P.C.  
Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP  
Lisa Cahill PLLC  
Littlepage Booth  
Livelihood Law, LLC  
Lockridge Grindal Nauen PLLP  
Loevy & Loevy  
Long & Levit LLP  
LoVerde Law, LLC  
Lowrey Parady Lebsack & DeFazio, LLC  
MacDonald Hoague & Bayless  
Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP  
Mancini Shenk LLP  
Marino & Weiss, P.C.  
Markowitz Herbold PC  
Marshall & Saunders, P.S.  
Marziani, Stevens & Gonzalez PLLC  
Maslon LLP  
Massey & Gail LLP  
McDowell Rackner Gibson PC  
McGillivray Steele Elkin LLP  
McHugh Law, PLLC  
McNaul Ebel Nawrot & Helgren PLLC  
Mehri & Skalet, PLLC  
Melnik Legal  
Michael A Jacobson, PS Inc.  
Michael Broad  
Miller Korzenik Sommers Rayman LLP  
Miller Nash LLP  
Miller Shakman Levine & Feldman LLP  
Miner Barnhill & Galland, PC  
Monchamp Meldrum LLP  
Moses & Moses, P.C.  
Moskowitz Colson Ginsberg & Schulman



Motley Rice LLC  
Moya Law Firm  
Munger, Tolles, & Olson LLP  
Munley Law PC  
Nace Law Group  
Nachawati Law Group PLLC  
Neal, Gerber & Eisenberg LLP  
Neill Schwerin Boxerman, P.C.  
Nelson & Fraenkel LLP  
Newman McNulty  
Nichols Kaster, PLLP  
Northern Justice Project, LLC  
Ogborn Mihn, LLP  
Olson Grimsley Kawanabe Hinchcliff & Murray LLC  
O'Malley & Madden, P.C.  
Orange Law Offices, P.C.  
Orsus Gate LLP  
Outten & Golden LLP  
Pacific Northwest Law LLP  
Pacifica Law Group LLP  
Paradigm Counsel LLP  
Paradise Law Group, PC  
Parker Lipman LLP  
Parker Pohl LLP  
Pashman Stein Walder Hayden, P.C.  
Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP  
Paul H. Stevenson Law Offices  
Peiffer Wolf Carr Kane Conway & Wise, LLP  
Perdue & Kidd LLP  
Persyn Law & Policy  
Peter L. Conway, P.C.  
Petrillo Klein + Boxer LLP  
Pfeiffer Rudolf LLC  
Phillips & Paolicelli  
Podhurst Orseck, P.A.  
Pope McGlamry, P.C.  
Price Law, LLC  
Purchase, George & Murphey, P.C.  
Rafferty Domnick Cunningham Yaffa  
Rathod Mohamedbhai LLC  
REH Legal  
Reitler Kailas & Rosenblatt LLP  
Rekhi and Wolk  
Relman Colfax PLLC  
Richards Carrington, LLC  
Ritz Clark & Ben-Asher LLP

Riviera Law and Mediation  
Rizio, Lipinsky, Heiting PC  
Robbins LLP  
Roberts Disability Law, P.C.  
Rogers Joseph O'Donnell  
Romanucci & Blandin, LLC  
Rosen Bien Galvan & Grunfeld LLP  
Rosenberg Martin Greenberg, LLP  
Roxanne Conlin & Associates, P.C.  
Rudy, Exelrod, Zieff & Lowe, LLP  
Ruiz & Smart LLP  
Russ Perisho, PLLC  
Russell & Woofter LLC  
Salahi PC  
Sall Spencer Callas & Krueger, ALC  
Salvatore Prescott Porter & Porter, PLLC  
Salvi, Schostok & Pritchard P.C.  
Sandler Reiff Lamb Rosenstein & Birkenstock, PC  
Sanger, Hanley, Sanger & Avila, LLP  
Sarafa Law PLLC  
Scale LLP  
Schindler Cohen & Hochman LLP  
Schroeter, Goldmark & Bender, P.S.  
Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt, P.C.  
Searcy Denney Scarola Barnhart & Shipley, P.A.  
Seeskin Law LLC  
Segal & Amos, PLLC  
Selendy Gay PLLC  
Sentner Safran LLP  
Sercarz & Riopelle, LLP  
Serpe LLC  
Shades of Gray Law Group, P.C.  
Shaheen & Gordon  
Sharp Law Firm, P.A.  
Shartsis Friese LLP  
Sher Tremonte LLP  
Shinder Cantor Lerner LLP  
Shishido Taren Goldsworthy PLLC  
Shreefer Law Firm, LLC  
Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger LLP  
Sideman & Bancroft  
Sitara Human Rights Law  
Slater Legal PLLC  
Smith & Marjanovic Law LLC  
Smith LaCien LLP  
Smith Mullin, P.C.

Smith Partners PLLP  
Solow, PLLC  
Spark Justice Law LLC  
Spear Wilderman, P.C.  
Spera Law Group, LLC  
Sperling Kenny Nachwalter, LLC  
Spertus, Landes & Josephs, LLP  
Spiegel & McDiarmid LLP  
Stapleton Segal Cochran LLC  
Stenberg Law, PLLC  
Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox PLLC  
Steven Toff Law & Consulting, PLLC  
Stoel Rives  
Strehorn, Ryan & Hoose  
Stulberg & Walsh, LLP  
Sunstein LLP  
Susman Godfrey L.L.P.  
Tabitha Myers Law PLLC (Midtown Law)  
Taus, Cebulash & Landau, LLP  
Terrell Marshall Law Group PLLC  
Terris, Pravlik & Millian, LLP  
The Advani Law Firm  
The Banks Law Firm, P.A.  
The Basile Law Firm  
The Coopersmith Law Firm, LLP  
The deRubertis Law Firm, APC  
The Employment Law Group, PC  
The Fierberg National Law Group, PLLC  
The Josh Greenberg Law Firm PLLC  
The Krudys Law Firm, PLC  
The Law Office of Barry M. Wolf  
The Law Office of Christy O'Connor  
The Law Office of Deborah M. Golden (Golden Law)  
The Law Office of Marlo P. Cadeddu, P.C.  
The Law Office of Stephen Wade Nebgen, PLLC  
The Law Offices of Eric J. Moutz, LLC  
The Law Offices of John Burton  
The Law Offices of Mark P. Zimmert  
The Law Offices of Peter Swarth  
The Law Offices of Seth P. Chazin  
The Maddox Firm LLC  
The Norton Law Firm PC  
The Paer Law Group, APC  
The Prinz Law Firm, P.C.  
The Rossman Firm LLC  
The Schaps Law Office, A.P.C.

The Soto Law Office, LLC  
Thede Culpepper Moore Munro & Silliman LLP  
Thomas Law LLC  
Tim Lyons Law, PLLC  
Tin Fulton Walker & Owen, PLLC  
Todd & Weld LLP  
Tonkon Torp LLP  
Torgan Cooper & Aaron  
Trister, Ross, Schadler & Gold, PLLC  
Untiedt Dabdoub, PLLC  
VANAMAN GERMAN LLP  
Victor M. Glasberg & Associates  
VII & IX, A Professional Law Corporation  
Vinick Hyams LLP  
Visible Law LLC  
Vladeck, Raskin & Clark, PC  
Vodde IP, PLLC  
Volin Employment Law, PLLC  
Walden Macht Haran & Williams LLP  
Walkup Melodia Kelly & Schoenberger  
Wallen Law PC  
Wang Hecker LLP  
Washington Employment Benefits Advocates, PLLC  
Waters Kraus Paul & Siegel  
Weddle Law PLLC  
Weissman & Mintz LLC  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Whistleblower Partners LLP  
White & Stradley, PLLC  
Wiggin and Dana LLP  
Wilkinson Stekloff  
Willenson Law, LLC  
William D. Shapiro Law, Inc.  
Williams Oinonen LLC  
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP  
Wilson Turner Kosmo LLP  
Windy City Trial Group  
Winebrake & Santillo, LLC  
Wolf & Oxley PLLC  
Woodside Counsel, P.C.  
Work/Environment Law Group  
Yetter Coleman LLP  
Yow PC  
Zalkind Duncan & Bernstein LLP  
Zimmer, Citron & Clarke LLP  
Zimmerman Reed LLP

Zitrin Law Office  
ZwillGen PLLC